Sri SANJEEVANATHA AIKALA.—Sir, extending this question May I know whether the Udipi Municipality asked for a loan and if so, what action Government has taken and whether Government has proposed to give loan ?

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, may I know whether the question is admitted ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the information is ready, it can be given.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I want notice.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳತಕ್ಕ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೇಳದೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು 4½ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಇಂಟರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. 4½ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಇಂಟರೆಸ್ಟಿನಂತೆ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕೂ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳುವುದನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೇಳದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದು ನನ್ನ ತಪ್ಪಲ್ಲ.

Seniority Lists of Rangers in the Forest Department.

*Q.- 440. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum I).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of seniority lists of Rangers in the Forest Department that have been prepared and published by Government up-till-now;

(*b*) whether they have prepared such a list recently and called for objections within 15 days;

(*c*) if so, whether it is a fact that senior members in that cadre are losing their seniority and are to be superseded by a number of juniors;

(*d*) what are the reasons for doing so;

(*e*) whether they have followed the provisions of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 in this respect ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Minister for Finance) [on behalf of Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister)].—

(*a*) One.

(*b*) The revised provisional Inter-State Seniority List has been published by Government in the *Mysore Gazette* dated 18th March 1965 inviting objections from the aggrieved within 30 days from the date of publication in the Gazette for submission to the Government of India for finalisation in consultation with the state Advisory Committee.

(*c*) Seniority has been determined on the basis of principles laid down.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of answer to (*c*).

(*e*) Equation of posts and determination of seniority have been done in accordance with the principles laid down by the Government of India.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sir, may I know the principle on which the first list was published and the principle on which the second list is published ?

†Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the list that has been published in the Gazette is one.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What is the difference in the principle between the two ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, I have replied, the list that has been published is one and there is no question of making any difference in the principle. Whether the list is prepared by the department, whether it is approved by the Government or whether it is to be published in the Gazette, the principles followed are the same.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಗೌಡ—ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಆ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಗೆಜಿಟ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಗೊಳಗಾಗಿರುವವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನಗಳು ಈಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The period is not over. It will be over by 18th and we will have to wait till that time.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Whether the officers concerned have been appraised about the formalities or principles that have been laid down by Government of India in the formation of the seniority ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the State Reorganisation Act can be made use of.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶೀಮಠ್..—ಈ ಸೀನಿಯಾರಿಟಿ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು 18-3-1965 ರಂದು ಗೆಜಿಟ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಅದನ್ನು ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ತಡ ಮಾಡಿ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಏನಿತ್ತು ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Because there were certain differences regarding principles to be applied. The whole question was to be revised once, twice and thrice before the lists were to be published in the Gazette.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I asked the Hon'ble Minister to enlighten me about the principles. If these principles are there, let him state them on the floor of the House.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, the Government Order is there. If the Hon'ble Member wants to know the broad principles I will give them. Sir, while deciding the seniority, to prepare the *inter-State* and Intere Se lists, Government take into consideration the total length of service, the qualification of the officers and the area of Jurisdiction and the pay that the officer or officers are drawing.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—I wanted to know whether those concerned have been apparised of the principles and how many objections have been received ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The list that has been published in the Gazette is prepared following the principles laid down by the Government of India. Objections are called for specifying the period of 30 days and 30 days would be over by 18th. So, it is not possible to-day to give the number of objections received.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣ್ಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಪ್ರಿನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಫಾಲೋಮಾಡದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ತಕರಾರು ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ರಿಟ್ ಪಿಟಿಷನ್‌ಗಳು ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಯಿತಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ಅನ್ನು ಗೆಜೆಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಬ್ಲಿಷ್‌ಆಗಿದೆ ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನೇನು ತಕರಾರುಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತವೆಯೋ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಜರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಗೆ ನಾವು ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕ್ಲೈನಲೈಜ್ ಆಗಿ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರಕಾರದವರು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Amount in the Government Treasury for Acquisition of Land

*Q.—604. Sri VEERABHADRAPPA ERAPPA (Yelburga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the people of Moraba Village, Navalgund Taluk, have deposited any amount in the Government Treasury for the acquisition of land for them ;

(*b*) whether they have made any representation in this behalf ;

(*c*) the amount deposited by them ;

(*d*) when the same was deposited ;

(*e*) the extent of land required by them ;

(*f*) the reasons for not sanctioning the plots to them so far ;

(*g*) when the plots would be given to them ?

*A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Rs. 19,822.

(*d*)

Date of credit	Amount credited. Rs. p.
18-3-1960	7,000-00
1-4-1960	4,700-00
11-4-1960	2,697-00
18-4-1960	3,003-00
23-7-1960	2,422-00
	19,822-00

(*e*) 23 acres 10 guntas ; but the net area is found after measurement to be 21 acres 34 guntas and 11½ annas.

(*f*) The contributory causes for the time taken to allot sites to plot-holders are—

(1) Divergence of views in regard to the selection of sites required for the purpose.

(2) Procedural irregularity in not submitting the proposal in consultation with the Village Panchayat.

(3) The delay on the part of Village Panchayat in passing the resolution after the villagers had requested for sites.

(*g*) As soon as the acquisition proceedings are completed.